

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/648,378 | 08/25/2000 | Gene Samson | 290252012901 6911 | |
| 28075 | 7590 02/11/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC | | | BUI, VY Q | |
| SUITE 800 | LET AVENUE | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| MINNEAPO | LIS, MN 55403-2420 | | 3731 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004 / 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | $\overline{}$ | | |
|---|---|--|----------------|--|--|
| — , | 09/648,378 | SAMSON ET AL. | N(A). | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | W/ | | |
| | Vy Q. Bui | 3731 | ر ا / | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addres | s | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 Ju</u> | <u>ıne 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | rits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | i3 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>96-100 and 102</u> is/are pending in the | application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 100 is/are objected to. | l li | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$ | Examiner. | , | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action of form PTO-1 | 52. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | an Na | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | 70 | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | | su iii tiiis ivationai Stag | y e | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | · | ed. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | |) \ | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atent Application (FTO-152 | -, | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/648,378

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 96, 97, 102 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 14-15, 19-22, 25 of U.S. Patent No. 5,891,112. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both include main structural limitations such as a braid member of super elastic ribbons, a polymeric inner liner, a polymeric outer cover.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,378

Art Unit: 3731

2. Claims 96-99, 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by TRUCKAI-5,019,057.

As to claims 96-99 and 102, see Fig. 1, col. 3, line 43 to line 63 and col. 4, line 37-43. Inherently, TRUCKAI catheter can be bent to a bend diameter of no more than 2.5 mm to define a catheter section having a major diameter and a minor diameter as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 100 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

VQB

February 9, 2004.